

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/043,067 | 01/09/2002 | Kohei Asada | 7217/66287 | 4965 |
| 75 | 7590 12/27/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| COOPER & DUNHAM LLP | | | PENDLETON, BRIAN T | |
| 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2644 | 2644 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/27/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Amuliaantta | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Office Action Duri | | 10/043,067 | ASADA ET AL. | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Brian T. Pendleton | 2644 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE! | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Au | ugust 2005. | | | | |
| 2a)□ | • | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3)□ | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,22 and 23</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ | 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,22 and 23</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | ☑ Claim(s) <u>9-14 and 17</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| | 7) Claim(s) 15 and 16 is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)[_ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | · | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10)🖂 | The drawing(s) filed on 09 January 2002 is/are: | a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected | to by the Examiner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | no anaonou detalled Office action for a list (| or the certified copies flut receive | u. | | | |
| Attachmen | • • | _ | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | (PTO-413) te | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Inforr | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| . що | | ٠/ 🗀 تانان | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/043,067

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 9-11 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Hannaway, US Patent Application 2002/0103919. Hannaway discloses a webcasting method and system comprising streaming media sources 150, 160 having media servers 154, 164 as capturing means, streaming media synchronization system 110 as output means for outputting a live performance guide output based on supplied synchronization information from the stream media sources (synched media signals 114) and as a transmitter means for transmitting supplied synchronization information (media server control signal 116) back to the streaming media sources. As to claims 10 and 11, end-user nodes 180 and 190 extract the synchronization information and have media player 192. Regarding claim 17, figure 2 discloses time-based synchronization controller 230 for supplying the synchronization information.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2644

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hannaway in view of Knappe et al, US Patent 6,850,496. Hannaway does not disclose synthesizing means for synthesizing signals and transmitting a synthesized signal with the synchronization information to other devices. Knappe discloses a teleconferencing system over a packet data network 32 comprising a mixers 102L and 102R for mixing captured data from different endpoints in figure 6. The jitter buffers 90, 92, and 94 are used to synchronize the data from the endpoints. Therefore, it was taught to synthesize (mix) signals in a system having multiple data sources. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Hannaway to include the mixer of Knappe for the purpose of including all the data from the media sources in an output for presentation. Claims 12-14 are met.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-8, 22, and 23 are allowed.

Claims 15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cohen, US Patent 6,501,739 and Shibata, US Patent 6,801,630.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,067

Art Unit: 2644

4) . . . m

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian T. Pendleton whose telephone number is (571) 272-7527. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian T. Pendleton Primary Examiner Art Unit 2644

btp

3.22